# Case 1:22-cv-02249-JPB Document 1-2 Filed 06/06/22 Page 1 of 11 **Exhibit B**

# IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

BRENDA MATLOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	
	)	Civil Action
TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., a California Limit	ed)	File No.: 22A01591
Liability Company, TAN TRAN, individual	ly, )	
and d/b/a TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., ABC	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	•	

# ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANTS TRIGO EXPRESS LLC AND TAN TRAN TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Defendants Trigo Express, LLC and Tan Tran and hereby file their Answer and Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint, showing the Court as follows:

#### **FIRST DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

#### **SECOND DEFENSE**

Defendants are not liable to Plaintiff because they owed no duty, and in the alternative, breached no duty owed to Plaintiff, regarding the occurrence giving rise to Plaintiff's Complaint.

# **THIRD DEFENSE**

To the extent as may be shown by evidence, Defendants assert the defense of contributory/comparative negligence, failure to avoid consequences, failure to exercise ordinary care, and failure to mitigate damages.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendants reserve the right to plead and prove such other defenses as may become known to them during the course of their investigation and discovery.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendants answer the numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

## **PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

2.

Defendants admit that, at the time of the incident, Defendant Trigo Express ("Trigo Express") was a California limited liability corporation with its principal office located at 9689 Carnation Avenue, Fountain Valley, CA 92708. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

3.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

4.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

5.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tan Tran ("Defendant Tran") is a non-resident of Georgia and subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran can be served at his residence, which is 9689 Carnation Avenue, Fountain Valley, CA 92708. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

7.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

8.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

9.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

10.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

#### FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING COLLISION

11.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

12.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants admits that Trigo Express owned the tractor-trailer at issue and that Defendant Tran was driving on Trigo Express' behalf at the time of the incident. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

14.

Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

15.

Defendants denies the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

16.

Defendants admits that Trigo Express owned the tractor-trailer that Defendant Tran was operating. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

17.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran was a member of Trigo Express. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

18.

Defendants admits that Defendant Tran was operating the tractor trailer at issue on Trigo Express' behalf at the time of the incident. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

19.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran was cited for improper lane change. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

#### **NEGLIGENCE**

21.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran had a duty to comply with Georgia law. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

22.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

23.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

24.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran had a duty to comply with Georgia law. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

25.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

26.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

27.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran had a duty to comply with Georgia law. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

29.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

30.

Defendants admit that Defendant Tran had a duty to comply with Georgia law. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

31.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

32.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

33.

Defendants admits that Trigo Express may be responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of Defendant Tran. Defendants deny as pled the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

34.

Defendants deny as pled the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

35.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

36.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants deny as pled the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

38.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

39.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

40.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

41.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

42.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

43.

Defendants deny as pled the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

### **DAMAGES**

44.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

45.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

46.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

48.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and, therefore, can neither admit nor deny same.

49.

Defendants deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint.

50.

Any allegations contained in Plaintiff's Complaint not herein responded to by number, including Plaintiff's ad damnun/prayer for relief clause, and its subparts, are hereby denied.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, Defendants pray that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with costs of this action cast against Plaintiff.

This 6th day of June, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jessica F. Hubbartt

JESSICA F. HUBBARTT Georgia State Bar No. 932295 Attorney for Defendants Trigo Express

LLC and Tan Tran

Copeland, Stair, Valz & Lovell, LLP 191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 3600 P. O. Box 56887 (30343-0887) Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1740 Telephone (404) 221-2211 Facsimile (404) 523-2345 jhubbartt@csvl.com

# IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

BRENDA MATLOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
·	)	
V.	)	
	)	Civil Action
TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., a California Limit	ed )	File No.: 22A01591
Liability Company, TAN TRAN, individual		
and d/b/a TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., ABC	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	,	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing **ANSWER** 

### AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANTS TRIGO EXPRESS LLC AND TAN TRAN TO

<u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u> upon all parties to this matter via the Court's electronic filing system, which will automatically e-mail a copy to counsel of record addressed as follows:

Michael J. Ivan P.O. Box 682765 Marietta, GA 30068 mivanlaw@yahoo.com

This 6th day of June, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Jessica F. Hubbartt</u>
JESSICA F. HUBBARTT
Georgia State Bar No. 932295
Attorney for Defendants Trigo Express

LLC and Tan Tran

Copeland, Stair, Valz & Lovell, LLP 191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 3600 P. O. Box 56887 (30343-0887) Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1740 Telephone (404) 221-2211 Facsimile (404) 523-2345 jhubbartt@csvl.com

# IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

BRENDA MATLOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Civil Action
TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., a California Lim	ited )	File No.: 22A01591
Liability Company, TAN TRAN, individua	ally, )	
and d/b/a TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., ABC	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Defendants.		

## **DEFENDANTS' DEMAND FOR JURY PANEL OF TWELVE**

COME NOW Defendants Trigo Express, LLC and Tan Tran, by and through their attorneys, and demand in writing prior to the commencement of the trial term that the above-styled case be tried by a jury of twelve, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 15-12-122.

This 6th day of June, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

COPELAND, STAIR, VALZ & LOVELL, LLP

/s/ Jessica F. Hubbartt
JESSICA F. HUBBARTT
State Bar No.: 932295

jhubbartt@csvl.law

Attorney for Defendants Trigo Express LLC and Tan Tran

191 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 3600 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1740

Phone: 404-221-2211 Fax: 404-523-2345

# IN THE STATE COURT OF DEKALB COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

BRENDA MATLOCK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	
	)	Civil Action
TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., a California Limit	ed )	File No.: 22A01591
Liability Company, TAN TRAN, individual	ly, )	
and d/b/a TRIGO EXPRESS LLC., ABC	)	
CORPORATION,	)	
·	)	
Defendants.	,	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing **DEFENDANTS' DEMAND FOR JURY PANEL OF TWELVE** upon all parties to this matter via the Court's e-filing system, which will automatically email a copy to counsel of record as follows:

Michael J. Ivan P.O. Box 682765 Marietta, GA 30068 mivanlaw@yahoo.com

This 6th day of June, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jessica F. Hubbartt
JESSICA F. HUBBARTT
Georgia State Bar No. 932295

Attorney for Defendants Trigo Express

LLC and Tan Tran

Copeland, Stair, Valz & Lovell, LLP 191 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 3600 P. O. Box 56887 (30343-0887) Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1740 Telephone (404) 221-2211 Facsimile (404) 523-2345 jhubbartt@csvl.com